

# On Length Densities

Scott Chapman

Chris O'Neill

Vadim Ponomarenko

July 23, 2021



# The Student Authors

You can find this paper on the arXiv:

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.06725>

This talk is based on the work completed during the Summer 2020 San Diego State REU. The following students contributed during that period.

Cole Brower, San Diego State University

Travis Kulhanek, UCLA

Joseph McDonough, San Diego State University

Vody Pavlyuk, San Diego State University



## What is factorization theory?

A commutative cancellative monoid  $M$  with set of irreducible elements (or atoms)  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  is called *atomic* if for each nonunit  $x \in M$  there are  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}(M)$  such that  $x = x_1 \cdots x_k$ . For such an  $x$ , set

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists atoms } x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ with } x = x_1 \cdots x_k\}. \quad (1)$$

The set  $\mathcal{L}(x)$  is known as the *set of lengths* of  $x \in M$ , and its study over the past 60 years has been the principal focus of non-unique factorization theory.

**In particular, much of this work has centered on combinatorial constants related to  $\mathcal{L}(x)$ .**



## What is factorization theory?

A commutative cancellative monoid  $M$  with set of irreducible elements (or atoms)  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  is called *atomic* if for each nonunit  $x \in M$  there are  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}(M)$  such that  $x = x_1 \cdots x_k$ . For such an  $x$ , set

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists atoms } x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ with } x = x_1 \cdots x_k\}. \quad (1)$$

The set  $\mathcal{L}(x)$  is known as the *set of lengths* of  $x \in M$ , and its study over the past 60 years has been the principal focus of non-unique factorization theory.

In particular, much of this work has centered on combinatorial constants related to  $\mathcal{L}(x)$ .



## What is factorization theory?

A commutative cancellative monoid  $M$  with set of irreducible elements (or atoms)  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  is called *atomic* if for each nonunit  $x \in M$  there are  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}(M)$  such that  $x = x_1 \cdots x_k$ . For such an  $x$ , set

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists atoms } x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ with } x = x_1 \cdots x_k\}. \quad (1)$$

The set  $\mathcal{L}(x)$  is known as the *set of lengths* of  $x \in M$ , and its study over the past 60 years has been the principal focus of non-unique factorization theory.

**In particular, much of this work has centered on combinatorial constants related to  $\mathcal{L}(x)$ .**



# Introduction

For instance, if  $M$  is the multiplicative monoid of an integral domain  $R$  then set

$$L(x) = \max \mathcal{L}(x), \ell(x) = \min \mathcal{L}(x), \rho(x) = \frac{L(x)}{\ell(x)},$$

$$\text{and } \rho(M) = \inf\{\rho(x) \mid x \in M\}.$$

The constant  $\rho(x)$  is known as the *elasticity* of  $x$  in  $M$  and the constant  $\rho(M)$  as the *elasticity of  $M$* .

Further set

$$\bar{L}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(x^n)}{n} \text{ and } \bar{\ell}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell(x^n)}{n}. \quad (2)$$



# Introduction

For instance, if  $M$  is the multiplicative monoid of an integral domain  $R$  then set

$$L(x) = \max \mathcal{L}(x), \ell(x) = \min \mathcal{L}(x), \rho(x) = \frac{L(x)}{\ell(x)},$$

$$\text{and } \rho(M) = \inf\{\rho(x) \mid x \in M\}.$$

The constant  $\rho(x)$  is known as the *elasticity* of  $x$  in  $M$  and the constant  $\rho(M)$  as the *elasticity of  $M$* .

Further set

$$\bar{L}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(x^n)}{n} \text{ and } \bar{\ell}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell(x^n)}{n}. \quad (2)$$



# Introduction

Anderson and Pruis show in PAMS **113**(1991) that

- (i) both the limits  $\bar{L}(x)$  and  $\bar{\ell}(x)$  exist (although  $\bar{L}(x)$  may be infinite);
- (ii) if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in [0, \infty]$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta \leq \infty$ , then there is an integral domain  $R$  and an irreducible  $x \in R$  with  $\bar{\ell}(x) = \alpha$  and  $\bar{L}(x) = \beta$ .

The above constants are rather “coarse” in the sense that they merely describe the extreme values in  $\mathcal{L}(x)$ .

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1}, n_k\}$$





# Introduction

Anderson and Pruis show in PAMS **113**(1991) that

- (i) both the limits  $\bar{L}(x)$  and  $\bar{\ell}(x)$  exist (although  $\bar{L}(x)$  may be infinite);
- (ii) if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in [0, \infty]$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta \leq \infty$ , then there is an integral domain  $R$  and an irreducible  $x \in R$  with  $\bar{\ell}(x) = \alpha$  and  $\bar{L}(x) = \beta$ .

The above constants are rather “coarse” in the sense that they merely describe the extreme values in  $\mathcal{L}(x)$ .

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1}, n_k\}$$



## Definition

Let  $M$  be a commutative cancellative atomic BF-monoid with set of units  $M^\times$ . Define a function  $L^\Delta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  via

$$L^\Delta(x) = \max \mathcal{L}(x) - \min \mathcal{L}(x)$$

where we define  $L^\Delta(x) = 0$  if  $x \in M^\times$ . We define the *length kernel* of  $M$ , denoted  $M^{LK}$ , as the kernel of  $L^\Delta$  and the *length ideal* of  $M$ , denoted  $M^{LI}$ , as  $M \setminus M^{LK}$ . For  $x \in M^{LI}$  set

$$LD(x) = \frac{|\mathcal{L}(x)| - 1}{L^\Delta(x)},$$

which we call the *length density* of  $x$ .



## Definition

Moreover, set

$$\text{LD}(M) = \inf\{\text{LD}(x) \mid x \in M^{LI}\},$$

which we call the *length density* of  $M$ . If there is an  $x \in M^{LI}$  such that  $\text{LD}(M) = \text{LD}(x)$ , then we say that the length density of  $M$  is *accepted*.

Set

$$\overline{\text{LD}}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{LD}(x^n)$$

to be the *asymptotic length density* of  $x$ , provided this limit exists.



# Basic Ideas and Bounds on the Length Density

We open by considering the largest value that  $\text{LD}(x)$  can attain.

## Proposition

Let  $M$  be a commutative cancellative atomic monoid and  $x \in M^{\text{li}}$ . The following statements are equivalent.

- 1  $\text{LD}(x) = 1$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{L}(x)$  is an interval.
- 3  $\Delta(x) = \{1\}$ .

If the elements of  $M$  satisfy any of these conditions, then it necessarily has accepted length density.

**Comment:** While many such  $M$  can be constructed (using numerical and congruence monoids), there does not seem to be an indepth study of such monoids in the factorization literature.



# Basic Ideas and Bounds on the Length Density

We open by considering the largest value that  $\text{LD}(x)$  can attain.

## Proposition

Let  $M$  be a commutative cancellative atomic monoid and  $x \in M^{\text{li}}$ . The following statements are equivalent.

- 1  $\text{LD}(x) = 1$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{L}(x)$  is an interval.
- 3  $\Delta(x) = \{1\}$ .

If the elements of  $M$  satisfy any of these conditions, then it necessarily has accepted length density.

**Comment:** While many such  $M$  can be constructed (using numerical and congruence monoids), there does not seem to be an indepth study of such monoids in the factorization literature.



# Basic Ideas and Bounds on the Length Density

We start with a more general proposition.

## Proposition

Let  $x \in M^L$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{\max \Delta(x)} \leq LD(x) \leq \frac{1}{\min \Delta(x)}, \quad (3)$$

with equality if and only if  $|\Delta(x)| = 1$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{\max \Delta(M)} \leq LD(M) \leq \frac{1}{\min \Delta(M)}. \quad (4)$$



# Basic Ideas and Bounds on the Length Density

Immediately we obtain the following.

## Corollary

*Let  $M$  be an atomic monoid. If  $\Delta(M) = \{d\}$  for some positive integer  $d$ , then  $LD(x) = \frac{1}{d}$  and consequently  $\overline{LD}(x) = \frac{1}{d}$  for all  $x \in M^{LI}$ . It follows that  $LD(M) = \frac{1}{d}$  and that the length density of  $M$  is accepted.*

## Example

The bounds in the last Proposition may be strict. For instance, take the numerical monoid  $M = \langle 6, 9, 20 \rangle$ . Here  $\Delta(M) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and we have  $LD(18) = 1$  and  $LD(60) = \frac{4}{7}$ . It can be shown that  $LD(M) = \frac{4}{7}$ , and that  $LD(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . For example,  $LD(1000) = \frac{109}{112}$ .



## Example

We construct a monoid with nonzero rational length density which is not accepted. Let  $M$  be the quotient of the free abelian monoid on atoms  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  with the minimal relations

$$a_1^3 = a_2^4 = a_3^6$$

$$a_4^3 = a_5^4 = a_6^6 = a_7^8$$

$$a_8^3 = a_9^4 = a_{10}^6 = a_{11}^8 = a_{12}^{10}$$

$$a_{13}^3 = a_{14}^4 = a_{15}^6 = a_{16}^8 = a_{17}^{10} = a_{18}^{12}$$

$\vdots$



# Examples

## Example

Note that every atom is contained in exactly one minimal relation and hence, this monoid is an FF-monoid. Further,  $\Delta(x) = \{1, 2\}$  for every element of  $M$  with nonunique factorization, and  $\rho(M) = \infty$ .

Consider the elements  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$  defined by the minimal relations, i.e.  $b_1 = a_1^3, b_2 = a_4^3, \dots$ . We have

$$\mathcal{L}(b_i) = \{3, 4, 6, \dots, 2(i+2)\}$$

and from this

$$LD(b_i) = \frac{i+1}{2i+1}.$$

Taking  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and therefore  $LD(M) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . But also  $LD(M) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , as  $2 = \max \Delta(M)$ . Hence  $LD(M) = \frac{1}{2}$ . It cannot be accepted, because no  $x \in M$  has  $\Delta(x) = \{2\}$ .



# The Other Extreme

We now work toward the other extreme and start with a definition.

## Definition

Let  $M$  be an atomic monoid. If for every nonempty finite subset  $S \subset \{2, 3, 4, \dots\} = \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$  there exists an element  $x \in M^{LI}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(x) = S$ , then we say that  $M$  has the *Kainrath Property*.

Clearly a monoid  $M$  with the Kainrath property satisfies  $\Delta(M) = \mathbb{N}$ . We deduce another Corollary.

## Corollary

*If  $M$  has the Kainrath property, then  $LD(M) = 0$  and  $\{LD(x) \mid x \in M^{LI}\} = (0, 1]$ . Hence, a monoid with the Kainrath property does not have accepted length density.*



# Nonrational and Accepted Elasticity

A fundamental question early in the study of elasticity was whether or not an integral domain can have irrational elasticity.

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $b > a$ . Let  $c \in [0, 1]$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $k(i) = \lceil ic(b-a) \rceil$ . We will now define the monoid  $M(a, b, c)$ , as the free abelian monoid on atoms  $\{q_{i,j} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , with minimal relations:

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad q_{i,ia}^{ia} = q_{i,ia+1}^{ia+1} = q_{i,ia+2}^{ia+2} = \cdots = q_{i,ia+k(i)}^{ia+k(i)} = q_{i,ib}^{ib}.$$

## Proposition

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $b > a$ . Let  $c \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\rho(M(a, b, c)) = \frac{b}{a}$  and  $LD(M(a, b, c)) = c$ .



# Finitely Generated Monoids have Accepted Length Density

## Theorem

*If  $S$  is a finitely generated semigroup, then  $\text{LD}(S)$  is accepted.*

## Proof.

Involves an examination of the Betti elements of  $S$ . □



# Block Monoids

We briefly approach the question of computing  $\text{LD}(\mathcal{B}(G))$  and start with a known result concerning the delta set of such a block monoid. If

$G = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}n_i$  is a finite abelian group where  $n_i | n_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i < k$  with  $|G| \geq 3$ , then

$$[1, n_k - 2] \subseteq \Delta(\mathcal{B}(G)) \subseteq [1, c(\mathcal{B}(G)) - 2] \subseteq [1, D(G) - 2].$$

Here  $D(G)$  represents the *Davenport's Constant* of  $G$ . The quantity  $c(M)$  is the *catenary degree* of the monoid  $M$ .

## Proposition

If  $G$  is a finite abelian group with  $|G| \geq 3$ , then

$$\frac{1}{c(\mathcal{B}(G)) - 2} \leq \text{LD}(\mathcal{B}(G)) \leq 1.$$



## Corollary

If  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$  is cyclic, then  $\text{LD}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = \frac{1}{n-2}$  and if  $G = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_2$ , then

$$\text{LD}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_2\right)\right) = \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

We list an application of this Corollary to algebraic rings of integers.

## Corollary

If  $R$  is a ring of algebraic integers with class number  $p$  where  $p$  is prime, then  $\text{LD}(R) = \frac{1}{p-2}$ .



# Asymptotic Length Density

We exhibit an atomic monoid with an element that lacks asymptotic length density.

## Example

Consider the Puiseux monoid

$$M = \left\langle \frac{4}{3}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{800}{1201}, \frac{a_1}{p_1}, \frac{a_2}{p_2}, \dots \right\rangle.$$

The  $p_i$  are a strictly increasing sequence of primes, and the  $a_i$  are a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers defined recursively. Using known results on Puiseux monoids,  $M$  is a BF-monoid (and an FF-monoid). Our focus is on  $x = 8$ , and we calculate  $x^n = 8n$ , as  $n$  grows large. An extended argument shows the following.



# Example

## Example

- For  $n < 100$ ,  $x^n < 800$ ,  $LD(x^n) = 1$ .
- At  $n = 100$ ,  $LD(x^{100}) < \frac{1}{2}$ .
- As  $n$  continues to increase, so long as  $8n < a_1$ , all factorizations of  $x^n$  will include only the first three atoms. An extended computation shows that  $LD(x^{2900}) > \frac{3}{4}$ .
- We are now ready to choose the next atom. Set  $a_1 = 2901 \cdot 8$ , and  $p_1 > 2 \cdot 1201 \cdot 30$ , e.g.  $p_1 = 72073$ . Using only the first three atoms, all factorizations of  $x^{2901}$  are of length at most  $30 \cdot 1201$ . Using the new fourth atom, we get a new factorization of length  $p_1$ , and we can argue that  $LD(x^{2901}) < \frac{1}{2}$ .
- By continuing in this way, we find that  $LD(x^n)$  can be made to grow to be above  $\frac{3}{4}$ , then to shrink below  $\frac{1}{2}$ , over and over as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the asymptotic length density of  $x$  does not exist.



# When do we get Asymptotic Length Densities?

What atomic monoids admit asymptotic length densities for all their elements?

While we do not completely answer this, we offer a large class that does. If  $M$  is a monoid and  $x \in M$ , then let  $\|x\|$  denote the set of all elements in  $M$  that divide  $x^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $a \in M$  and  $x \in Z(M)$ , let  $t(a, x) \in \mathbb{N}_0 - \{1\}$  denote the *tame degree* of  $a$  with respect to  $x$ . If  $u \in M$ , then set  $t(M, u) = \sup\{t(x, u) \mid x \in M\}$ .

The monoid  $M$  is *locally tame* if  $t(M, u) < \infty$  for each atom  $u$  of  $M$ . If  $M$  is an atomic locally tame monoid, then  $M$  is a BF-monoid. The *tame degree* of  $M$ , is defined by  $t(M) = \sup\{t(M, u) \mid u \in \mathcal{A}(M)\}$ . If  $t(M) < \infty$ , then  $M$  is called *globally tame*. Since  $c(H) \leq t(H)$ , global tameness implies finiteness of the catenary degree.



# When do we get Asymptotic Length Densities?

What atomic monoids admit asymptotic length densities for all their elements?

While we do not completely answer this, we offer a large class that does. If  $M$  is a monoid and  $x \in M$ , then let  $\|x\|$  denote the set of all elements in  $M$  that divide  $x^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $a \in M$  and  $x \in Z(M)$ , let  $t(a, x) \in \mathbb{N}_0 - \{1\}$  denote the *tame degree* of  $a$  with respect to  $x$ . If  $u \in M$ , then set  $t(M, u) = \sup\{t(x, u) \mid x \in M\}$ .

The monoid  $M$  is *locally tame* if  $t(M, u) < \infty$  for each atom  $u$  of  $M$ . If  $M$  is an atomic locally tame monoid, then  $M$  is a BF-monoid. The *tame degree* of  $M$ , is defined by  $t(M) = \sup\{t(M, u) \mid u \in \mathcal{A}(M)\}$ . If  $t(M) < \infty$ , then  $M$  is called *globally tame*. Since  $c(H) \leq t(H)$ , global tameness implies finiteness of the catenary degree.



# When do we get Asymptotic Length Densities?

What atomic monoids admit asymptotic length densities for all their elements?

While we do not completely answer this, we offer a large class that does. If  $M$  is a monoid and  $x \in M$ , then let  $\|x\|$  denote the set of all elements in  $M$  that divide  $x^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $a \in M$  and  $x \in Z(M)$ , let  $t(a, x) \in \mathbb{N}_0 - \{1\}$  denote the *tame degree* of  $a$  with respect to  $x$ . If  $u \in M$ , then set  $t(M, u) = \sup\{t(x, u) \mid x \in M\}$ .

The monoid  $M$  is *locally tame* if  $t(M, u) < \infty$  for each atom  $u$  of  $M$ . If  $M$  is an atomic locally tame monoid, then  $M$  is a BF-monoid. The *tame degree* of  $M$ , is defined by  $t(M) = \sup\{t(M, u) \mid u \in \mathcal{A}(M)\}$ . If  $t(M) < \infty$ , then  $M$  is called *globally tame*. Since  $c(H) \leq t(H)$ , global tameness implies finiteness of the catenary degree.



# The Theorem

## Theorem

Let  $S$  be a locally tame atomic monoid and for  $x \in S$  set  $H = \|x\|$ . Assume for  $x \in S$  that  $\Delta(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $|\Delta(H)| < \infty$ . Let  $d = \min \Delta(H)$ ,  $\tau$  be minimal such that  $d \in \Delta(x^\tau)$ ,  $\psi = \max(\tau, \rho(\Delta(H)) - 1)$ , and  $T = t(H, Z(x^\psi))$ . For all  $n \geq \psi$  it follows that

$$\frac{1}{d} - \frac{2T}{nd^2} \leq LD(x^n) \leq \frac{1}{d}.$$

In particular,  $\overline{LD}(x) = 1/d$ .

## Corollary

If  $S$  is NICE, then all elements of  $S$  admit asymptotic length densities.



## Example

- 1 Finitely generated monoids are globally tame, hence all nonunit elements admit asymptotic length densities.
- 2 Let  $H$  be a Krull monoid with class group  $G$  and let  $G_0 \subset G$  denote the set of classes containing prime divisors. If the Davenport constant  $D(G_0) < \infty$  (which holds if  $G_0$  is finite), then  $H$  is globally tame. Thus such Krull monoids, such as the ring of algebraic integers in a finite extension of the rationals, satisfy Theorem 18.
- 3 Every C-monoid (see Definition 2.9.5 in GHKB) is locally tame and has finite catenary degree. Orders in algebraic number fields fall into this class.